

FY 2023/2024 Multimodal Project Discretionary Grant (MPDG) Program

PROJECT BUDGET

Project Name: SD 73 Reconstruction – Improving Mobility, Safety, and Access to the Pine Ridge Reservation

Project Type: INFRA/Rural Project **Total Project Cost:** \$29,692,231

Total Future Eligible Project Costs: \$27,967,839 **FY 2023/2024 Rural Request:** \$22,374,271 **FY 2023/2024 INFRA Request:** \$16,780,703

Primary Contact:

Steven Gramm, PE, Planning Squad Leader South Dakota DOT

700 E. Broadway Avenue, Pierre, SD 57501 605.773.3281 | steve.gramm@state.sd.us

Supporting Information can be found at: https://www.srfconsulting.com/sddot-sd73-248/



SD 73 Reconstruction – Improving Mobility, Safety, and Access to the Pine Ridge Reservation White River to Kadoka

Submitted by South Dakota Department of Transportation

FY 2023/2024 Multimodal Project Discretionary Grant (MPDG) Program

CONTENTS

| PROJECT BUDGET | 1 |
|--|---|
| PROJECT COSTS | 1 |
| PROJECT FUNDING BY CENSUS TRACT | 2 |
| NON-FEDERAL FUNDING SOURCE | 3 |
| BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS | 3 |
| FUNDING DOCUMENTATION | 4 |
| | |
| | |
| TABLES | |
| IABLES | |
| TABLE 1 FY 2023/2024 RURAL COST BREAKOUT | 1 |
| TABLE 2 FY 2023/2024 INFRA COST BREAKOUT | 2 |
| TABLE 3 CENSUS TRACT INDICATORS | 2 |
| TABLE 4 PROJECT COST BY CENSUS TRACTS | 3 |
| TABLE 5 BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS TABLE | 3 |

PROJECT BUDGET

PROJECT COSTS

Total Project Cost: \$29,692,231

Total Future Eligible Project Costs: \$27,967,839

FY 2023/2024 Rural Request: \$22,374,271 (80 percent of total future eligible costs) **FY 2023/2024 INFRA Request:** \$16,780,703 (60 percent of total future eligible costs)

Availability and commitment of funding sources:

The South Dakota Department of Transportation (SDDOT) has prioritized this SD 73 Reconstruction – Improving Mobility, Safety, and Access to the Pine Ridge Reservation Project as its highest FY 2023/2024 MPDG priority project. The SDDOT is requesting grant funding for the Construction Project Only, SDDOT is requesting \$22,374,271 in Rural (Table 1), or \$16,780,703 in INFRA funds (Table 2), as part of this FY 2023/2024 MPDG application. Costs were developed using 90 percent design plans.

This Project is located in a rural area, a historically disadvantaged community, and an area of persistent poverty, so no local match is required. However, the SDDOT has already invested State dollars to see this project completed. Preliminary engineering, environmental documentation, final design, and right of way acquisition has already been or will be completed prior to grant obligation, and grant funding is not being requested for those activities. A Table showing previously incurred costs at time of this application and those anticipated to incur prior to construction / grant obligation can be seen here.

Table 1 FY 2023/2024 Rural Cost Breakout

| PROJECT FUNDING | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--------------|---------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| PROJECT COMPONENTS | | Federal | | Non-Federal (secured/committed) | | Total Cost Estimate | |
| | | MPDG | | Applicant | | | |
| | | Dollars | Percent Total | Dollars | Percent Total | | |
| Ðι | Prelim Design, NEPA, & Final Design (5.5% of Constr) | \$0 | 0% | \$1,399,392 | 81% | \$1,399,392 | |
| patiı | Right-of-Way Acquisition & Utilities | \$0 | 0% | \$325,000 | 19% | \$325,000 | |
| Non-Participating Costs | Total Incurred/Non-Participating Costs | \$0 | 0% | \$1,724,392 | 100% | \$1,724,392 | |
| | Construction Costs (2024 dollars) | \$20,354,796 | 73% | \$5,088,699 | 18% | \$25,443,495 | |
| βL | Construction Engineering & Admin (3.5% of Const) | \$712,418 | 3% | \$178,104 | 1% | \$890,522 | |
| ipati | Contingency (5% of Const) | \$1,307,057 | 5% | \$326,764 | 1% | \$1,633,821 | |
| Participating Costs | Total Future Eligible Costs | \$22,374,271 | 80% | \$5,593,568 | 20% | \$27,967,839 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| MPDG Rural Request | | | \$22,374,271 | 80% | TOTAL | | |
| | Other Federal | | \$0 | 0% | TOTAL PROJECT COST | \$29,692,231 | |
| | Non-Federal | | \$5,593,568 | 20% | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| TOTAL | | | | 100% | | | |

Table 2 FY 2023/2024 INFRA Cost Breakout

| | PROJECT FUNDING | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| PROJECT COMPONENTS | | Federal | | Non-Federal (secured/committed) | | Total Cost Estimate |
| | | MPDG | | Applicant | | |
| | | Dollars | Percent Total | Dollars | Percent Total | |
| Б | Prelim Design, NEPA, & Final Design (5.5% of Constr) | \$0 | 0% | \$1,399,392 | 81% | \$1,399,392 |
| patir | Right-of-Way Acquisition & Utilities | \$0 | 0% | \$325,000 | 19% | \$325,000 |
| Non-Participating Costs | Total Incurred/Non-Participating Costs | \$0 | 0% | \$1,724,392 | 100% | \$1,724,392 |
| | Construction Costs (2024 dollars) | \$15,266,097 | 55% | \$10,177,398 | 36% | \$25,443,495 |
| <u></u> <u>6</u> | Construction Engineering & Admin (3.5% of Const) | \$534,313 | 2% | \$356,209 | 1% | \$890,522 |
| ipatii | Contingency (5% of Const) | \$980,293 | 4% | \$653,529 | 2% | \$1,633,821 |
| Participating Costs | Total Future Eligible Costs | \$16,780,703 | 60% | \$11,187,136 | 40% | \$27,967,839 |
| | MPD | G INFRA Request | \$16,780,703 | 60% | | |
| Other Federal | | \$0 | 0% | TOTAL | | |
| | | Non-Federal | \$11,187,136 | 40% | PROJECT COST | \$29,692,231 |
| TOTAL | | | 100% | | | |

PROJECT FUNDING BY CENSUS TRACT

The Project extends between two census tracts. The southern 2.4 miles of the 8.7-mile project, (27.5 percent), is located in Census tract 9412. This tract is located within the Pine Ridge Reservation, an area of persistent poverty (APP). This area is also identified in Climate and Economic Justice Screening Tool, developed by the White House Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), as having five indicators of disadvantage including climate change, energy, health, housing, and workforce development. Because the Pine Ridge Reservation is a federally recognized reservation in the United States, the census tract 9412 automatically qualifies as a historically disadvantaged community.

Table 3 Census Tract Indicators

| Tract | ACS 2021 Population | Distance | APP | HDC | CEJ |
|-------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-------------------------------|
| 9611 | 957 | 6.3 miles along SD 73 and 1 | Yes | No | 3 indicators: Climate Change, |
| 9011 | 337 | mile of lighting along SD 248 | 163 | 140 | Energy, & Health |
| | 1,911 | 2.4 miles along SD 73 | Yes | Yes | 5 indicators: Climate Change, |
| 9412 | | | | | Energy, Health, Housing, & |
| | | | | | Workforce Development |

The total project cost was divided across the two census tracts as per USDOT's guidance.

Because both Census Tracts are located in an area of persistent poverty, 100 percent of the project dollars will be used to implement improvements and provide significant benefits for the underserved community in the region.

Table 4 Project Cost by Census Tracts

| Census Tract(s) | Project Costs per Census Tract | Percentage |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| 9611 | \$ 22,164,017 | 74.6% |
| 9412 | \$ 7,528,214 | 25.4% |
| Total Project Cost: \$ | \$29,692,231 | 100% |

NON-FEDERAL FUNDING SOURCE

The Project is located in a rural area, a historically disadvantaged community, and in an area of persistent poverty. SDDOT will provide State Highway Funds to cover any necessary local match, any unanticpated project costs and/or to cover any project gap from federal funding received as part of this application..

SDDOT has a demonstrated history of managing federal discretionary grant award funding. SDDOT has dedicated staff to prepare necessary progress reports and management tasks as necessary. SDDOT has prepared a detailed budget for the project that extends through the grant obligation date. Thus, the Department has substantial lead time to reserve/obligate funding for each of the project IDs. State highway projects are a top priority, thus, if funding adjustments are needed, SDDOT will delay lower priority projects to provide adequate funding for the SD 73 Reconstruction – Improving Mobility, Safety, and Access to the Pine Ridge Reservation Project.

MPDG Funding Need

A FY 2023/2024 MPDG request of construction costs of the project and would cover the funding gap. If FY 2023/2024 MPDG funding is not awarded, the Project could experience delays until funding is available. The traveling public including freight carriers, tourists, and residents within an area of persistent poverty and within the Pine Ridge Reservation will not realize the proposed improvements of the SD 73 Reconstruction – Improving Mobility, Safety, and Access to the Pine Ridge Reservation Project, and will not benefit from any of its planned improvements. Higher than average crash rates are likely to persist, and countermeasures to protect non-motorized roadway users will be delayed. The absence of funding would further adversely impact the underserved population in the area.

BENEFIT COST ANALYSIS

The benefit-cost analysis provides an indication of the economic desirability of a scenario, but results must be weighed by decision-makers along with the assessment of other effects and impacts. Projects are considered cost-effective if the benefit-cost ratio is at least 1.0. The larger the ratio number, the greater the benefits per unit cost. Results of the benefit-cost analysis are shown in Table 5.

Table 5 Benefit Cost Analysis Table

| | Initial Capital Cost | Project Benefits | Benefit-Cost Ratio | Net Present Value |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | (2021 Dollars) | (2021 Dollars) | (7% Discount Rate) | (2021 Dollars) |
| No Build vs. Build | \$24.23 million | \$53.29 million | 2.20 | \$29.05 million |

FUNDING DOCUMENTATION

Additional funding documentation including detailed cost estimates for each project ID as well as FY 2023/2024 MPDG Project Description, Merit Criteria, Project Readiness, and Benefit Cost Analysis documentation can be found at https://www.srfconsulting.com/sddot-sd73-248/.