

U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration

Minnesota Division

380 Jackson Street Galtier Plaza, Suite 500 St. Paul, MN 55101-4802

651.291.6100 651.291.6000 fax

www.fhwa.dot.gov/mndiv

March 4, 2008

Mr. Robert J. McFarlin Acting Commissioner of Transportation Department of Transportation MS 100, Transportation Building St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Re: Section 106 Programmatic Agreement Regarding Pre-1956 Historic Bridges in Minnesota

Dear Mr. McFarlin:

It is with great pleasure that we transmit the enclosed fully executed Section 106 Programmatic Agreement (PA) concerning pre-1956 historic bridges in Minnesota. We appreciate the hard work and collaboration of Mn/DOT's Cultural Resources Unit in the development and execution of this PA. Implementation of the PA is effective immediately.

By copy of this letter, we are transmitting the approved PA to all the signatories. If you have any questions or require further information about the PA, please contact me at (651) 291-6120.

Sincerely yours,

B.Martin

Cheryl B. Martin Environmental Engineer

Enclosure

AMERICAN Economy



## CBM/seh

cc: 2 Mn/DOT

1 Mn/DOT – Frank Pafko, MS 620

1 Mn/DOT – Joe Hudak, MS 620

1 Mn/SHPO – Dennis Gimmestad

1 USACOE – Brad Johnson

1 USACOE – Tamara Cameron

1 Martin

1 File 514.00

1 RF

DMS - "Historic Bridge Section 106 P.A. to MnDOT"



Preserving America's Heritage

February 15, 2008

Ms. Cheryl B. Martin Environmental Engineer Minnesota Division Federal Highway Administration 380 Jackson Street Galtier Plaza, Suite 500 St. Paul, MN 55101-4802

Ref: Section 106 Programmatic Agreement Regarding Pre-1956 Historic Bridges in Minnesota

Dear Ms. Martin:

The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) has executed the enclosed Programmatic Agreement (PA) concerning pre-1956 historic bridges in the State of Minnesota. This action constitutes the comments of the ACHP required by Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the ACHP's regulations. We appreciate your hard work in bringing consultation on this agreement to conclusion. We believe the resulting PA would serve well as a model for other Federal Highway Administration divisions working toward improving the identification and management of their historic bridges' program.

We have retained one copy of the PA with original signatures for our files. We are returning to you the four remaining originals for distribution to the other signatory parties. Should you have any questions or require further assistance of the ACHP, please contact Carol Legard, our FHWA Liaison, at (202) 606-8522 or by e-mail at <u>clegard@achp.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Charlene Dwin Vaughn, AICP Assistant Director Federal Permitting, Licensing, and Assistance Section Office of Federal Agency Programs

Enclosure Programmatic Agreement (4)

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

1100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 803 • Washington, DC 20004 Phone: 202-606-8503 • Fax: 202-606-8647 • achp@achp.gov • www.achp.gov

## PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT CONCERNING PRE-1956 HISTORIC BRIDGES AMONG THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, THE ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION, THE MINNESOTA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER, THE DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS, ST. PAUL DISTRICT AND THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

WHEREAS the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) proposes to administer the Federal-Aid Highway Program in Minnesota authorized by 23 USC 101 et seq. through the Minnesota Department of Transportation (Mn/DOT) (23 USC 315), which covers any Federal-Aid Highway Program funded undertaking (including transportation enhancement funds and the National Recreational Trails Program), including those sponsored by local agencies; and

WHEREAS, the FHWA has determined that the Federal-Aid Highway Program may be used to rehabilitate or replace pre-1956 bridges listed in or determined eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) (hereafter referred to as "historic bridges"); and

WHEREAS, the FHWA has consulted with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) and the Minnesota State Historic Preservation Officer (MnSHPO) pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (Section 106) (16 U.S.C. 470f) and implementing regulations (36 CFR Part 800.14[b]) to develop this Programmatic Agreement (PA) regarding the treatment of pre-1956 historic bridges in Minnesota; and

WHEREAS, the FHWA wishes to ensure that Mn/DOT will conduct its Federal-Aid Highway Program funded undertakings in a manner consistent with the "Programmatic Agreement among the Federal Highway Administration, the Minnesota State Historic Preservation Office, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, the Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District, and the Minnesota Department of Transportation regarding Implementation of the Federal-Aid Highway Program in Minnesota" executed on June 21, 2005 (2005 Section 106 PA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (36 CFR 800.8); and

WHEREAS, the FHWA intends to integrate its historic and archaeological preservation planning and management decisions with other policy and program requirements to the maximum extent possible consistent with Section 110 of the NHPA; and

WHEREAS, 36 CFR 800 encourages Federal agencies to efficiently fulfill their obligations under Section 106 of the NHPA through the development and implementation of cooperative PAs; Executive Order 13274 states that the development and implementation of transportation infrastructure projects in an efficient and environmentally sound manner is essential to the wellbeing of the American people and a strong American economy and the executive departments and agencies shall take appropriate actions, to the extent consistent with applicable law and available resources, to promote environmental stewardship in the Nation's transportation system and expedite environmental reviews of high-priority transportation infrastructure projects; Section 1309 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (TEA-21), Public Law 105-178 (as amended by the SAFETEA-LU), calls on Federal agencies to expedite the environmental review process, while protecting and enhancing the environment; and the FHWA encourages the development of programmatic agreements between the state FHWA Division Offices and state SHPOs; and

WHEREAS, the FHWA has delegated its responsibilities, to a certain extent, for compliance with Section 106 in accordance with Federal law to the professionally qualified staff (as per 36 CFR 61) in the Cultural Resources Unit (CRU) at Mn/DOT (hereafter referred to as the

Mn/DOT CRU staff), although the FHWA remains legally responsible for all findings and determinations charged to the agency official in 36 CFR 800; and

WHEREAS, consistent with applicable Federal legislation, the MnSHPO reflects the interests of the state and its citizens in the preservation of their cultural heritage, and in accordance with Section 101(b)(3) of the NHPA advises and assists Federal and State agencies in carrying out their historic preservation responsibilities, including Section 106 responsibilities; and

WHEREAS, as per the terms of the 2005 Section 106 PA the Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District (Corps) recognizes the FHWA as the lead Federal agency for Corps undertakings related to Federal-Aid Highway projects, and has been invited to be a signatory to this Programmatic Agreement (Agreement) pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(a)(2); and

WHEREAS the Mn/DOT recognizes that historic bridges represent the Department's engineering heritage and that their preservation is important to the Department; therefore, Mn/DOT has participated in the consultation and has been invited to become a signatory to this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, FHWA and Mn/DOT are committed to the design of transportation systems that: (1) achieve a safe and efficient function appropriately placed within the Minnesota context; (2) avoid, minimize and mitigate adverse effects on historic and cultural resources; (3) recognize that investment in these historic, archaeological, and cultural resources is critical to Minnesota's continued growth and prosperity; and (4) respond to the needs of Minnesota communities; and

WHEREAS, the rehabilitation, reuse, and preservation of historic bridges can be facilitated with good information and procedures that encourage consideration of context sensitive solutions and address the public interest in the preservation of historic bridges; and

WHEREAS, it is understood that new bridge construction and routes may ultimately be required to address local and state transportation needs; and

WHEREAS the Mn/DOT CRU, on behalf of the FHWA and in consultation with the MnSHPO, has completed an inventory and evaluation of bridges constructed before 1956 and has identified the list of eligible pre-1956 bridges owned by Mn/DOT or local governments (see Attachment A) (although bridges may be removed from the list [due to loss of integrity or demolition] and added to the list over time [e.g., bridges contributing to an eligible historic district]); and

WHEREAS, the Mn/DOT has committed to preserving and performing a higher level of maintenance on selected state-owned eligible bridges (see Attachment B), and will work to encourage local bridge preservation efforts for bridges controlled by local agencies.

NOW, THEREFORE, the FHWA, the ACHP, the Corps, the MnSHPO, and Mn/DOT agree that Federal-aid Program undertakings involving historic bridges in Minnesota shall be administered in accordance with the following stipulations.

#### STIPULATIONS

FHWA shall ensure the following stipulations are carried out.

## STIPULATION 1. APPLICABILITY AND SCOPE

A. <u>Applicability</u>. This Agreement applies to any FHWA-funded undertakings conducted on National Register-eligible bridges (see Attachment A) including, but not necessarily limited to bridge maintenance projects, bridge preservation/rehabilitation/restoration/ reconstruction projects, bridge relocation projects, bridge replacement projects, and projects containing any or all elements of the above project types.

This Agreement does not apply to projects without FHWA funding. Mn/DOT and local bridge projects without FHWA funds may need to be reviewed under the Minnesota Historic Sites Act (MS 138.665) and the Minnesota Field Archaeology Act of 1963 (MS 138.31-138.42). For non-FHWA funded bridge projects requiring a Corps permit, the Corps is the lead federal agency and shall be responsible for compliance with Section 106. For those projects, Mn/DOT Districts and local agencies must coordinate with the Corps.

- B. Definition of Eligible/Listed Bridge. All pre-1956 bridges that are not listed in Attachment A have been determined to be not eligible for listing in the National Register, and therefore require no further identification or evaluation for the FHWA's compliance under Section 106. The two exceptions to this are railroad bridges located over non-roadway features and bridges that are not individually eligible but may be identified and evaluated as contributing elements to a historic district (neither of which were evaluated during the Mn/DOT CRU-sponsored study). For all reviews, Mn/DOT CRU will determine if any pre-1956 bridges (including those not on the list in Appendix A) are in the APE, will determine if they are potentially contributing elements to a historic district, and will follow the identification and evaluation procedures as defined in 36 CFR 800.4 and the 2005 Section 106 PA. For all reviews, Mn/DOT CRU will identify if railroad bridges are present in the APE that have not been previously evaluated, and will evaluate them as defined in 36 CFR 800.4 and the 2005 Section 106 PA.
- C. Other Federal Agency Involvement. Should Federal agencies other than FHWA or the Corps implement an undertaking (as defined in 36 CFR Part 800.16[y]) in association with a Federal-Aid Highway Program funded bridge project, said Federal agency may satisfy their Section 106 compliance responsibilities according to 36 CFR 800.2(a)(2) by stating in a letter to the FHWA, and copying the MnSHPO, ACHP, and Mn/DOT CRU, that their undertaking will conform to the terms of this Agreement and recognizing FHWA as the lead Federal agency. FHWA and Mn/DOT CRU will review the scope for any expanded undertaking and ensure that a proper area of potential effect is defined, and will determine what additional measures are needed, if any, to fully consider the undertaking's effects on historic properties.

#### **STIPULATION 2: REVIEW PROCESS FOR PRE-1956 BRIDGES**

Previously executed, project-specific memoranda of agreement regarding a historic bridge or bridges in Minnesota are not superseded by the provisions and stipulations in this Agreement. The review process will follow the terms of the 2005 Section 106 PA, including any revisions or amendments to the 2005 Section 106 PA.

A. <u>Effects to Eligible or Listed Bridges</u>. If a proposed undertaking for the type of undertakings listed in the Applicability section of this Agreement includes work on or

demolition of any bridge included in Attachment A, the Mn/DOT CRU staff, will review the undertaking in accordance with the 2005 Section 106 PA, and determine if the undertaking will have an adverse effect on the bridge. Mn/DOT will also complete, as needed, the identification, evaluation and treatment of historic properties other than the affected historic bridge as prescribed in the 2005 Section 106 PA.

Mn/DOT CRU will use the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties in order to determine if the proposed work would constitute an adverse effect. If Mn/DOT CRU staff determines that the project would constitute an adverse effect on the historic bridge and/or other National register eligible properties, they will work with the project sponsor to avoid such effects. If adverse effects cannot be avoided or minimized, Mn/DOT CRU staff and the FHWA will follow the 2005 Section 106 PA Stipulation 3:H.

B. <u>Long-Range Mitigation Approaches</u>. The FHWA and MnSHPO recognize that longrange approaches to mitigation can be more efficient than project-by-project mitigation items, and will seek to develop such approaches as needs and resources permit.

## STIPULATION 3: BRIDGE PRESERVATION AND EDUCATIONAL EFFORTS

A. Completion of the Minnesota Statewide Historic Bridge Management Plan and Individual Bridge Management Plans for Bridges Selected for Preservation. Mn/DOT completed in June 2006 the Minnesota Statewide Historic Bridge Management Plan and individual management plans for 23 of the 24 state-owned bridges selected for preservation (see Attachment A). This work also included the documentation of 46 of the state's premiere historic bridges to the Minnesota Historic Property Record (MHPR) (on file in the Minnesota Historical Society [MHS] archives). Mn/DOT will complete by December 2008 the management plan for the remaining bridge (the Stillwater Lift Bridge).

As was agreed upon in the 1997 Bridge Management Plan but not yet accomplished, Mn/DOT will formally list on the National Register all of the state-owned pre-1956 eligible bridges. Currently, only four bridges remain for listing: Bridge 6679, Bridge 5557, Bridge 5722, and Bridge 4175. These bridge nominations will be submitted to the MnSHPO no later than one (1) year after the signing of this Agreement. If the Faribault Viaduct is selected for preservation in lieu of Bridge 5557, then Bridge 5557 will not be listed.

B. Preservation and Maintenance of the 24 Selected Bridges as per the terms of the Individual Bridge Management Plans. Mn/DOT is committed to preserving and maintaining the 24 bridges listed in Attachment B. Recognizing that individual bridge projects will occur on different schedules depending on available funding sources and individual bridge needs, Mn/DOT will begin to actively seek funding for preservation/rehabilitation of the 24 bridges within one (1) year of the signing of this Agreement. Mn/DOT will provide annual updates to FHWA and MnSHPO on the status of the bridge preservation efforts and copies of the annual maintenance checklists developed under the individual bridge plans for each of the 24 bridges (no later than February 15<sup>th</sup> annually and in conjunction with the annual review of the 2005 Section 106 PA and this Agreement).

If it is determined by all parties involved in a specific preservation project that preservation is not feasible, appropriate additional efforts will be determined by the signatories of this Agreement to assure that a representative group of bridge types is being preserved.

- C. Training for Mn/DOT Bridge Maintenance Personnel for the 24 State-Owned Historic Bridges. Within 12 months of the signing of this Agreement and on an on-going basis, Mn/DOT CRU and Bridge Office will provide training to Mn/DOT bridge maintenance workers in order to ensure that appropriate maintenance treatments are being applied to the 24 bridges identified for preservation. The Districts responsible for maintenance on the 24 bridges identified for preservation (Attachment A) will annually send in the maintenance checklist developed under each individual bridge management plan to Mn/DOT CRU no later than January 15<sup>th</sup>. Mn/DOT CRU will forward copies of the completed maintenance checklist to MnSHPO no later than February 15<sup>th</sup>.
- D. Updating of Minnesota National Register Historic Bridge Web Site and Creation of a Mn/DOT Historic Bridge Web Page. Within 12 months of the signing of this Agreement, Mn/DOT will work with FHWA, MnSHPO, and MHS to update the Minnesota Historic Bridge web site (<u>http://www.mnhs.org/places/ nationalregister/bridges/bridges.html</u>). The National Register web page will be updated with corrected information on historic bridges.

The following items, at a minimum, will be posted on the Mn/DOT Historic Bridge Web Page: this signed Agreement, the general bridge management plan, the individual bridge management plans, historic bridge contexts, high resolution scanned images of all Minnesota Historic Property Record (MHPR) bridge documentations performed for FHWA funded projects, and high resolution digital images of documented bridges, where available. As future bridge studies or documentations are completed, Mn/DOT will post them to the Historic Bridge Web Page.

- E. <u>Historic Bridge Expertise within the Mn/DOT Bridge Office</u>. The Mn/DOT Bridge Office will maintain within its staff a bridge engineer whose job responsibilities include work on historic bridges. The engineer must have either education focused on preserving historic bridges or periodic training on preserving historic bridges (which may include attending workshops, symposia and conferences on the topic).
- F. <u>Preservation Efforts for Locally Owned Historic Bridges.</u> Within 6 months of the signing of this Agreement, Mn/DOT CRU and Bridge Office will distribute the general historic bridge management plan to all Mn/DOT District Bridge Offices, County Highway Departments, and municipalities that own historic bridges. Mn/DOT CRU and Bridge Office may also provide training opportunities for local agencies on appropriate treatments for historic bridges.
  - Mn/DOT CRU and MnSHPO will work with local groups to aid in the preservation of historic bridges under the control of local agencies. The work may include, but not necessarily be limited to, providing technical guidance, GIS data on historic bridge locations, training to maintenance staff, and assistance in completing a local bridge management plan.

#### STIPULATION 4. USE OF DESIGN EXEMPTIONS AND VARIANCES

Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS) is an integral part of FHWA and Mn/DOT projects. CSS is a collaborative, interdisciplinary approach that involves all stakeholders to develop a transportation facility that fits its physical setting and preserves scenic, aesthetic, historic and environmental resources, while maintaining safety and mobility. CSS is an approach that considers the total context within which a transportation improvement project will exist. CSS principles include the employment of early, continuous and meaningful involvement of the public and all stakeholders throughout the project development process. The implementation of

a CSS approach to navigating the project development process will ensure the best possible outcome to the process. Therefore, FHWA and Mn/DOT strongly encourages the development of historic bridge projects in a context sensitive manner, including the use of design exemptions and variances when practical.

A. Within one (1) year of the signing of this Agreement, Mn/DOT will develop and distribute guidelines on how to effectively apply and utilize design exemptions and variances on historic bridges. This document will be distributed to all Mn/DOT districts and offices and local agencies within three (3) months of its completion, and will be used in reviewing projects on historic bridges.

## **STIPULATION 5. FUNDING ALTERNATIVES**

- A. <u>HBRRP Funding</u>. For projects that meet the requirements for Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation Program (HBRRP) funding, FHWA will work with Mn/DOT on a project-by-project basis to maintain the historic integrity of the bridge while keeping it in service using exemptions to the standards when deemed appropriate.
- B. <u>Enhancement Funds</u>. Mn/DOT will apply for, and will encourage local agencies to apply for enhancement funds as appropriate for rehabilitation work on historic bridges.

#### STIPULATION 6. FUTURE BRIDGE IDENTIFICATION AND EVALUATION EFFORTS

- A. List of Eligible/Listed Bridges. The currently agreed upon list of eligible and listed bridges is based on the identification and evaluation efforts of bridges constructed prior to 1956 and is included as Appendix A. The two exceptions to this are railroad bridges located over non-roadway features and bridges that are not individually eligible but may be identified and evaluated as contributing elements to a historic district (neither of which were evaluated during the Mn/DOT CRU-sponsored study). For all reviews, Mn/DOT CRU will determine if any pre-1956 bridges (including those not on the list in Appendix A) are in the APE, will determine if they are potentially contributing elements to a historic district, and will follow the identification and evaluation procedures as defined in 36 CFR 800.4 and the 2005 Section 106 PA. For all reviews, Mn/DOT CRU will evaluate them as defined in 36 CFR 800.4 and the 2005 Section 106 PA.
- B. <u>Annual Review Bridge List Status and Updating of Attachment A</u>. The passage of time or changing perceptions of significance may require a reevaluation of properties previously determined eligible or ineligible. On an annual basis (by February 15<sup>th</sup>) after the signing of this Agreement and at the same time that the 2005 Section 106 PA is reviewed, Mn/DOT CRU will coordinate with the Bridge Office and MnSHPO to remove any bridges from the list that have been demolished or had a substantial loss of integrity and to add bridges that have been found eligible (such as bridges contributing to a historic district). Mn/DOT CRU will send out the updated list within a month of the meeting, and the Bridge Office and MnSHPO will update their respective databases within one month of receiving the annual list.
- C. <u>Bridges Constructed After 1956</u>. As bridges built after 1956 reach the 50-year mark that is generally accepted for National Register-eligibility, the FHWA, Mn/DOT CRU, and MnSHPO will work together to develop appropriate, streamlined identification and evaluation methods for such bridges, and incorporate such measures into this Agreement with an amendment or through a new PA as needed.

#### STIPULATION 7: POST-REVIEW DISCOVERIES

In the event that one or more historic properties--other than an historic bridge-- are discovered or that unanticipated effects on historic properties are identified for any project qualifying under this Agreement, the FHWA shall follow the procedure specified in the 2005 Section 106 PA.

## **STIPULATION 8: DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

Disagreement and misunderstanding about how this Agreement is or is not being implemented shall be resolved in the following manner:

If any of the signatories to this Agreement should object in writing to the FHWA regarding any action carried out or proposed with respect to any project qualifying under this Agreement or implementation of this Agreement, then the FHWA shall consult with the objecting party to resolve this objection. If after such consultation the FHWA determines that the objection cannot be resolved through consultation, then the FHWA shall forward all documentation relevant to the objection to the ACHP, including the FHWA's proposed response to the objection. Within 45 days after receipt of all pertinent documentation, the ACHP shall exercise one of the following options:

- Provide the FHWA with a staff-level recommendation, which the FHWA shall take into account in reaching a final decision regarding its response to the objection; or
- Notify the FHWA that the objection will be referred for formal comment pursuant to 36 CFR 800, and proceed to refer the objection and comment. The FHWA shall take into account the ACHP's comments in reaching a final decision regarding its response to the objection.

The FHWA shall take into account any ACHP comment or recommendations provided in accordance with this stipulation with reference only to the subject of the objection. The FHWA's responsibility to carry out all actions under the Agreement that is not the subject of the objection shall remain unchanged.

#### STIPULATION 9: AMENDMENT

Any signatory to this Agreement may request that it be amended, whereupon the parties shall consult to consider the proposed amendment. The amendment will be effective on the date a copy is signed by all of the original signatories.

#### STIPULATION 10: TERMINATION

Any party to this Agreement may terminate it by providing thirty days notice to the other signatories, provided that the parties will consult during the period prior to termination to seek agreement on amendments or other actions that would avoid termination. In the event of termination, the FHWA will comply with 36 CFR 800 with regard to individual undertakings covered by this Agreement.

#### STIPULATION 11: EFFECT ON EXISTING AGREEMENT

The measures contained in this Agreement do not supersede provisions or stipulations contained in previously executed memoranda of agreement regarding the rehabilitation or replacement of historic bridges in Minnesota.

#### STIPULATION 12: FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH AGREEMENT

In the event the FHWA does not carry out the terms of this Agreement, the FHWA will comply with 36 CFR 800 with regard to individual undertakings covered by this Agreement.

#### **STIPULATION 13: DURATION**

This Agreement shall become effective upon execution by FHWA, MnSHPO, the Corps, the Council, and Mn/DOT and shall remain in effect until December 31, 2017.

#### **STIPULATION 14. OPTION TO RENEW**

No later than December 31, 2016, FHWA will consult with the signatories to this Agreement to determine interest in renewing this Agreement. The Agreement may be extended for additional terms upon the written agreement of the signatories.

Execution and implementation of this Agreement evidences that the FHWA and the Corps have afforded the Council a reasonable opportunity to comment on the effects of the Federal-aid Highway program on historic bridge properties.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

BY:

1/24/00 Date:

Thomas K. Sorel, Division Administrator

MINNESOTA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER

BY:

Date: 12/10/07

Nina Archabal, State Historic Preservation Officer

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION BY:

John M. Fowler, Executive Director

Invited Signatories

hicknel I

UNITED STATES ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS, ST. PAUL DISTRICT Date: Colonel/Distilict Engineer

23/08

Date:

MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OR/TRANSPORTATION

BY:

The Honorable Carol Molnau, Lt. Governor/Commissioner

Date: 12 - 6 - 07

# ATTACHMENT B: BRIDGES TO PRESERVE

County	Number	Route	Crossing	Date	Significance
Anoka	4380	US 169	Mississippi	1929	C- Typological;
······			River		Aesthetics
Crow Wing	5265	US Hwy 169	Dry stream	1938	C - Aesthetics
Dakota	4190	State Hwy 55	Minnesota River, Railroad, street	1926	C – Exceptional Engineering; Typological; Aesthetics
Fillmore	5722	U.S. Hwy 63	Spring Valley Creek	1936	C - Aesthetics
Hennepin	2440	State Hwy 65 (3 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue Bridge)	Mississippi River, Third Avenue, railroad	1917	Part of St. Anthony Falls Historic District
Hennepin	27004	Stone Arch Bridge (pedestrian)	Mississippi River	1883	Part of St. Anthony Falls Historic District
Houston	6679†	State Hwy 76	South Fork Root River	1949	C- Typological; Aesthetics
Koochiching	5721	State Hwy 65	Little Fork River	1937	C- Typological; Aesthetics
Lake	3589	State Hwy 61	Stewart River	1924	A – State Trunk Highway C-Aesthetics
Lake of the Woods	5557†	State Hwy 11	Rapid River	1950	C – Aesthetics; Significant Engineering
Le Sueur	4930	State Hwy 99	Minnesota River	1931	C – Aesthetics; Exceptional Engineering
Lyon	5083†	State Hwy 19	Redwood River	1931	C - Aesthetics
Lyon	5151	State Hwy 19	Redwood River	1931	C - Aesthetics
Meeker	5388	State Hwy 24	North Fork Crow River	1935	C- Typological
Mille Lacs	3355	US Hwy 169	White Fish Creek	1939	C - Aesthetics
Morrison	4696	State Hwy 115	Mississippi <sup>.</sup> River	1930	A- association with Camp Ripley
Pine	5718	State Hwy 123	Kettle River and stream	1948	C –Exceptional Engineering
Polk	4700	US Hwy 2B	Red River/business route	1929	C- Typological
Ramsey	9036	US Hwy 952A (Robert Street)	Mississippi River, railroad, street	1926	C- Typological and Aesthetics
Rice	8096	State Hwy 19	Spring Creek	1947	C - Aesthetics
St. Louis	5772	State Hwy 61	Lester River	1935	C - Aesthetics
Scott	4175	US Hwy 169	Levee Drive	1927	C- Typological
Wabasha	5827	County Road 60	Stream	1938	C - Aesthetics
Washington	4654	TH 36 (Stillwater Bridge)	St. Croix River	1930	C – Exception Engineering

†The preservation future of these bridges is unclear. Mn/DOT is committed to pursuing the preservation option to the fullest, and if all parties decide that preservation is not feasible, all parties will follow the steps outlined in Stipulation 3.B, paragraph 2."

1		SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA C	HISTORIC DISTRICT	STATUS
		AN-AKC- 007	Anoka	Mississippi River	1	169	112	108	1929				Listed
	L0077		Benton										Eligible
	2366 (Nymore Bridge)	BL-BJC-058	Beltrami	1 <sup>st</sup> Street over Mississippi River	4	110	112	65	1916				Listed
	3398	BS-ORT-059	Big Stone	Minnesota River	4	74	112	50.2	1920		Typological (Marsh Arch)		Eligible
	90554 (Marsh Creek Rainbow Arch Bridge)	BE-CAM- 004	Blue Earth	Pedestrian Bridges		101	112	ŀ	1911		Typological (Marsh Arch)		Listed
	1461		Blue Earth					ł					
	L5658	BE-CER-007	Blue Earth	Perch Creek	3	75	112	72	1912 -		Typological; Significant Engineer		Eligible
	L5665 (Kennedy Bridge)	BE-DEC-007	Blue Earth	Le Sueur River	3	167	303	139.5	1883				Listed
	L5669 (Kern Bridge)	BE-MKT- 007	Blue Earth	Le Sueur River	3	190	303	183.5	1873				Listed
	2110	BW-EDN- 005	Brown	Minnesota River	2	8 .	302	250	1918		Typological		Eligible
	No Mn/DOT Bridge Number (Stone Bridge)	BW-COW- 008	Brown	· ·					1935			Flandreau State Park	Listed
	No MnDOT Bridge Number	CL-THT-016	Carlton	St. Louis River				126	1934			Jay Cooke State Park	Listed

•

BRIDGE {UMBER/ {AME	SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	ROAD NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A		HISTORIC DISTRICT	STATUS
Swinging Bridge)												
	CR-WAT- 002	Carver	Over Dak Rail	2	10	701	119	1939	Grade Separation Program			Eligible
5882	CR-WTC- 010	Carver	South Fork Crow River	2	10	301	144.5	1939		Aesthetics		Eligible
.2526	CR-CVC-098		4 <sup>th</sup> Street over Carver Spring	4	15	812	18	1885			Carver HD	Listed
.2783	CR-CVC-100		Main Street/Carver Spring RR bridge	2	40	812	19	1885		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Carver HD	Listed
5380	CP-KRA-009	Chippewa	Lac Qui Parle Lake		40	303	220.50	1938	Lac Qui Parle Project			Eligible
L8849	CP-MON- 145	Chippewa	Chippewa River Bypass	4	99	112	19	1938		Aesthetics		Eligible
L8850	CP-MON- 146	Chippewa	Chippewa River Bypass	4	99	112	19.1	1938		Aesthetics		Eligible
0961		Clay			· ]							Eligible
90818	- -	Clay .	Buffalo River	2	96	302	41.9	1907		Typological; Significant Builde	r	Eligible
5132	CK-UOG- 044	Cook	Cascade River						Wayside Rest			Eligible
7614	CK-UOG- 048	Cook	Grand Portage Creek	2	17	312	52	1941		Aesthetics	-	Eligible
8292	CK-UOG-	Cook		-					Spruce Creek Wayside Rest			Eligible
??? TH 169 Culvert at St. Albans	CW-GRT-	Crow Wing										Eligible
5265	CW-GRC- 005	Crow Wing	NB over Dry Stream	1	169	312	14	1938		Aesthetics		Listed
L2878		Crow Wing	7									Eligible
L3942		Crow	Nokasippi River	3	403	302	51	1908		Typological;		Eligible

•

	SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	ROAD NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A		HISTORIC DISTRICT	STATUS
I VALIVILLE		Wing	······································							Significant Builder		
4190		Dakota	Hwy 55 Over Minnesota River	1	55	212	4113.4	1926				Listed
5895	DK-HTC-318	Dakota	Over Mississippi River, railroad and streer		61	403	1857.3	1951		Typological; Significant Engineer		Eligible
L3275	DK-WTR- 005	Dakota	Cannon River	3	166	303	143	1909		Typological		Eligible
L5773		Dakota						ļ		·····	<u> </u>	
3130	FA-BET-003	Faribault	Coon Creek	2	232	106	63.1	1920	·	Typological		Eligible
89026	FA-MLT-004	Faribault	Diversion Channel to Minnesota Lake		21	106	47	1939	WPA Minnesota Lake Project			Eligible
5722	FL-SVC-042	Fillmore	Spring Valley Creek	1	63	113	15.8	1936		Aesthetics	<u> </u>	Eligible
6263	FL-FOR-021	Fillmore	South Branch of Root River	2	118	303	97	1899		Typological; Significant Builder		Eligible
6662		Fillmore										Eligible
7979		Fillmore	Stream	2	15	812	16.8	1904		Typological		Eligible
7980		Fillmore	Stream	2	15	812	16.5	1904		Typological		Eligible
9940	· · ·	Fillmore	Riceford Creek	2	29	301	31.3	1940		Aesthetics; Typological		Eligible
L4885		Fillmore	Bear Creek	3	354	303	98.5	1906		Typological; Significant Builde	r	Eligible
3481	GD-RWC- 848	Goodhue	Cannon River	4	11	106	193.4	1921		Aesthetics		Eligible
25580 (Covered Bridge)	GD-ZBC-008	Goodhue	Off Mn Hwy 58									Listed
L5391	GD-CFC-066	Goodhue	Cannon River	4	58	303	177.2	1909				Listed
14.5 (27136)	HE-ORC-05	I Hennepin	Luce Line Trail over BNSF					1885				Eligible
2440	HE-MPC-	Hennepin	3 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue/Mississippi	1	65	112	1887.8	1917			St. Anthony	Listed

.

•

	SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	ROAD NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA C	HISTORIC DISTRICT	STATUS
	0165		River & Burlington Northern Railroad								Falls HD	
2441	HE-MPC- 4104	Hennepin	Over West River Road & Mississippi River	2	5	112	435	1923				Listed
2796 .	HE-MPC- 4423	Hennepin	10 <sup>th</sup> /Mississippi River; Burlington Northern & Streets	4	328	112	290.5	1929	,			Listed
3145	HE-BLC-064	Hennepin	Pedestrain/Long Meadow Creek	4	1141	303	170	1920	Significant Crossing	Typological		Eligible
5756	HE-MPC- 4834-2	Hennepin	Soldier's Road/ Minnehaha Creek	21	1612	312	288.5	1908		Typological		Eligible
6247	HE-GVC-049	Hennepin	Plymouth/Burlington Northern & Bassett Creek	27	65	106.	183	1930 ·		Aesthetics		Eligible
6992 (27A53)	НЕ-МРС- 0020	Hennepin	Washington Avenue/Burlington Northern Railroad	1	152	303	109	1891		Integrity has been compromised – truss salvaged and bridge rebuilt	Minneapolis Warehouse HD	Listed
27004 (Stone Arch Bridge - pedestrian)		Hennepin	Mississippi River	1	999	812	2100	1883			St. Anthony Falls HD	Listed
27664 (Broadway Bridge Span)	HE-MPC- 0276	Hennepin	Merriam Street/East channel of Mississippi River	4		903	199	1887		Typological; Aesthetics		Elígible
90437	HE-MPC- 9959-2	Hennepin	Cedar Avenue South/HCRRA	27	152	106	100.6	1916			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
90448	HÉ-MPC- 9003-1	Hennepin	Over Excelsior Boulevard			401	116	1936			Minikahda Club	Eligible
90449	HE-MPC- 6899-1	Hennepin	Lake Street/Channel – Pedestrian Path	2	3	112	50	1911		Aesthetics		Eligible
90482	HE-MPC- 9000	Hennepin	Nokomis Avenue/Minnehaha Creek	4	1697	106	41	1922		Aesthetics		Eligible

											· .	
BRIDGE NUMBER/ NAME	SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	, SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A		HISTORIC DISTRICT	STAT
90490	HE-MPC- 6899-1	Hennepin	Penn Avenue South/Minnehaha Creek	4		112	21	1902	· ·	Typological		Eligi
90494		Hennepin		27	35	106	94.3	1914			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligit
90590 -	HE-MPC- 9963-2	Hennepin	Nicollet Avenue S/HCRRA	27	1942	106	94.4	1914			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligi
90591	HE-MPC- 6529	Hennepin	Nicollet/Minnehaha Parkway & Creek	4	430	112	93.6	1923		Typological		Eligi
90592	HE-MPC- 4813-1	Hennepin		4		112	27	1904		Typological		Eligi
90608	HE-EXC-063		Albans Bay	4	56	106	108	1941		Aesthetics		Eligi
90646	HE-EDC-633		Wooddale Avenue/Minnehaha Creek		150	312	21	1937		Aesthetics		Eligi
90664	HE-MPC- 9002	Hennepin	St Anthony Boulevard/Burlington Northern Railroad	27	97	303	533.6	1925		Typological		Eligi
92321	HE-MPC- 4812-1	Hennepin	Avenue/Minnehaha Creek	4	160	106	36	1921		Aesthetics		Eligi
92322	HE-MPC- 5045	Hennepin	12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue South/Minnehaha Creek	4	1480	106	33	1930		Aesthetics		Eligi
92324	HE-MPC- 9004	Hennepin	Upton Avenue South/ Minnehaha Creek	4	201	106	32	1931		Aesthetics		Eligi
92347	HE-MPC- 9963-3	Hennepin	1 <sup>st</sup> Avenue South/HCRRA	. 27	190	106	94.4	1914			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligi
92350	НЕ-МРС- 9959-3	Hennepin	Bloomington Avenue South/HCRRA	27	160	106	94.4	1916			CM&StP Grade	Elig
			· ·							· · ·		

BRIDGE NUMBER/ NAME	SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	ROAD NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIÁ A	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA C	HISTORIC DISTRICT	STATUS
-											Separation HD	
92366 (pedestrian bridge)	HE-HNC- 0005-1	Hennepin	Crow River	4	-	903	196	1885				Listed
92643	HE-EDC- 0628	Hennepin	Browndale Avenue/Minnehaha Creek	4	488	112	24.8	1902		Typological ·		Eligible
93844	HE-MPC- 9005	Hennepin	Ped-Maitenance/Soo Line	12	0	312	96.5	1896		Typological		Eligible
94246	HE-MPC- 9006	Hennepin	Mississippi River & West River Road\Abandonded Railroad	4		304	249	1922		Typological		Eligible
L5722	HE-MPC- 6900-1	Hennepin	Lake of Island Boulevard/ Channel	4	512	112	53	1912	-	Aesthetics; Significant Engineer		Eligible
L5729 🗠	HE-MPC- 6901-1	Hennepin	West Lake of the Isles Blvd/Channel	12	512	112	50	1912		Aesthetics; Significant Engineer		Eligible
L5735	HE-MPC- 9007	Hennepin	Lake Nokomis Parkway/Minnehaha Creek	12	1508	106	36	1926		Aesthetics		Eligible
L5736	HE-MPC- 9008	Hennepin	Minnehaha Parkway/ Minnehaha Creek	12	1121	106	36	1924		Aesthetics		Eligible
L6393	HE-MPC- 9009	Hennepin	Pedestrian Bridge/Minnehaha Creek	12	0	403	230.5	1930	-	Typological; Aesthetics		Eligible
L8898	HE-MPC- 0160	Hennepin	4 <sup>th</sup> Avenue North/Abandonded Burlington Northern Railroad	27	601	302	48	1891		-	Minneapolis Warehouse HD	Listed
L8901	HE-MPC- 9964-2	Hennepin	Fremont Avenue South/HCRRA	27 .	1030	106	94	1913			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8902	HE-MPC-	Hennepin	Colfax Avenue	27	700	106	94	1913			CM&StP	Eligible

BRIDGE NUMBER/ NAME	SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	ROAD NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A	CRITERIA C	HISTORIC DISTRICT	STATUS
	9964-3		South/HCRRA				-				Grade Separation HD	
L8903	HE-MPC- 9964-4	Hennepin	Bryant Avenue South/HCRRA	27	706	106	94.5	1913			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
1.8904	HE-MPC- 9964-5	Hennepin	Aldrich Avenue South/HCRRA	27	708	106	94.5	1913			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8906	HE-MPC- 9963-4	Hennepin	Harriet Avenue South/HCRRA	27	2010	106	106	1914			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8907	HE-MPC- 9010	Hennepin	Grand Avenue South/HCRRA	27	714	106	106	1914			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8908	HE-MPC- 9963-5	Hennepin	Pleasant Avenue South/HCRRA	27	718	106	94.3	1913			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8909	HE-MPC- 9963-6	Hennepin	Pillsbury Avenue South/HCRRA	27	2008	106	94.4	1914			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8910	HE-MPC- 9963-7	Hennepin	Stevens Avenue South/HCRRA	27	1034	106	94.3	1914			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8911	HE-MPC- 9959-4	Hennepin	Oakland Avenue South/HCRRA	27	766	106	94.5	1915			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible

BRIDGE NUMBER/ NAME	SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	ROAD NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA C	HISTORIC DISTRICT	STATUS
L8913	HE-MPC- 9959-5	Hennepin	Columbus Avenue South/HCRRA	27	1050	106	94.5	1915			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8914	HE-MPC- 9959-6	Hennepin	Elliot Avenue South/HCRRA	26	, ,	106	111	1915			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8915	HE-MPC- 9012	Hennepin	10 <sup>th</sup> Avenue South/HCRRA	27	1946	106	114.3	1915			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8916	HE-MPC- 9959-7	Hennepin	11 <sup>th</sup> Avenue South/HCRRA	27	1058	106	94.4	1915			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8917	HE-MPC- 9959-8	Hennepin	12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue South/HCRRA	27	804	106	94.6	1915			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8918	HE-MPC- 9959-9	Hennepin	13 <sup>th</sup> Avenue South/HCRRA	27	808	106	94.5	1915			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8919	HE-MPC- 9959-10	Hennepin	14 <sup>th</sup> Avenue South/HCRRA	27	1060	106	94.5	1915			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8920	HE-MPC- 9959-11	Hennepin	15 <sup>th</sup> Avenue South/HCRRA	27	1505	106	94.4	1916			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
1.8921	HE-MPC- 9959-12	Hennepin	16 <sup>th</sup> Avenue South/HCRRA	27	1893	106	94.5	1916			CM&StP Grade Separation	Eligible

•-

BRIDGE NUMBER/ NAME	SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A		DISTRICT	STATUS
					<u> </u>						HD	L
L8922	HE-MPC- 9959-13	Hennepin	17 <sup>th</sup> Avenue South/HCRRA	27	1062	106	94.5	1916			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L8923	HE-MPC- 9959-14	Hennepin	18 <sup>th</sup> Avenue South/HCRRA	27	164	106	95	1916			CM&StP Grade Separation HD	Eligible
L9327	HE-GVC-050	Hennepin	Theodore Wirth Parkway/Bassett Creek	12	57	112	50	1940		Aesthetics		Eligible
L9328	HE-MPC- 6637	Hennepin	William Berry Drive/Steet Car Track	12	1206	112	38	1910				Listed
L9329	HE-MPC- 6330	Hennepin	West Lake Harriet Boulevard/Trolley	4	1719	112	36	1905				Listed
6679	HU-BRT-005	Houston	South Fork Root River	1	76	401	314	1949 -		Typological; Aesthetics		Eligible
L4005	HU-BLH-011	Houston	Riceford Creek	3	124	302	43	1905		Typological; Significant Builder		Eligible
L4013	HU-BLH-008	Houston	Dry Run	3	126	812	11.5	1903		Typological		Listed
7423		Itasca	Swan River	2	446	112	50	1917		Typological (Marsh Arch)		Eligible
2628	JK-ABA-001	Jackson	Okabena Creek	3	183	105	64	1917		Typological		Eligible
L5245	JK-ABA-002	Jackson	Okabena Creek	3	187	302	45	1938		Typological		Eligible
5728	KT-KNC-004	Kittson	County Ditch # 27	4	1	312	37.6	1936	Federal Relief Construction			Eligible
7498	KT-PCY-022	Kittson	South Bridge Two Rivers (Dam)	2	28	106	68.4	1937			Lake Bronson State Park HD	Listed
5721	KC-UOG- 042	Koochichi ng	Little Fork River	1	65	303	378	1937		Typological; Aesthetics		Listed
5804	KC-LND-001	Koochichi	Big Fork River	2	1	303	348.5	1939		Typological		Eligible

. .

BRIDGE NUMBER/ NAME	SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	ROAD NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A		HISTORIC DISTRICT	STATUS
		ng										
6391		Lac Qui Parle	Outlet of Lac Qui Parle Lake			106	330,50	1938			Lac Qui Parle Project	Eligible
3459	LA-BBT-022	Lake	Baptism River			]		]	Į	<u> </u>		Eligible
No MnDOT Bridge Number	LA-SVC-018	Lake					, ,				Encampment Forest Association	Eligible
3589	LA-SVC-074	Lake	Stewart River	1	61	112	74.5	1924	State Trunk Highway	Aesthetics		Listed
5557	LW-CLE-001	Lake of the Woods	Rapid River	1	11	112	216	1950		Aesthetics; Significant Engineering		Eligible
4846	LE-KST-004	Le Sueur	Pedestrian over Shanaska Creek	2	103	903	115.7 .	1875				Listed
4930	LE-KST-002	Le Sueur	Minnesota River	1	99	303	402.3	1931		Aesthetics; Exceptional Engineering		Listed
No MnDOT Bridge Number	LY-LDT-013	Lyon	Redwood River			-		1938			Camden State Park	Listed
5083	LY-MSC-057	Lyon	Redwood River	1	19	106	108.3	1931		Aesthetics		Listed
5151	LY-MSC-068	Lyon	Redwood River	1	19	106	102	1931		Aesthetics		Listed
L9372	MA-FOL- 007	Marshall	Middle River					1940			Old Mill State Park	Listed
661	MR-WST- 003	Martin	Elm Creek	3	38	106	64.8	1912		Typological; Significant Engineer		Eligible
5388	ME-FCT-001	Meeker	North Fork Crow River	1	24	302	105	1935		Typological		Listed
90980	ME-KGT- 005	Meeker	North Fork Crow River	3	362	303 .	120.5	1899	-			Listed
90990		Meeker	Washington Creek	3	161	812	11	1908		Typological	<u> </u>	Eligible
3355	ML-KAN-	Mille Lacs	White Fish Creek	1	169	109	20	1939		Aesthetics		Listed

BRIDGE NUMBER/ NAME	NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	ROAD NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A		HISTORIC	STATUS
	005				<u></u>	ļ		ļ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ļ
4936		Mille Lacs	West Branch Rum River	2.	29	106	43.3	1931	<u></u>	Aesthetics		Eligible
4969 -	MO-GRE- 049	Morrison	Mississippl River	1	115	306	82	1930	Camp Ripley			Eligible
5368		Mower	Cedar River	2	29	112	84	1933		Aesthetics		Eligible
5374	MW-AUS- 087	Mower	Under C&NW Railroad (Abandonded) & Street	4	139	301	59	1937			Austin Grade Separation HD	Eligible
5699	MW-AUS- 088	Mower	Under 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street	4	139	108	96,5	1937			Austin Grade Separation HD	Eligible
5700	MW-AUS- 089	Mower	Under 3 <sup>rd</sup> Street	4	139	301	59	1937			Austin Grade Separation HD	Eligible
448	OL-ORC-001	Olmsted	County Hwy 18 over Middle Fork Zumbro River	·	18							Eligible
89182.		Olmsted	South Forth Zumbro River (Dam)	2	125	301	142.	1934			Maywood HD	Listed
L6322	OL-ORT-008	Olmsted	South Forth Zumbro River	2	121	303	72	1895				Listed
5453	OT-FFC-087	Ottertail	Union Avenue North over Otter Tail River	4	104	109	124.2	1939		Aesthetics		Eligible
L0885	OT-MNE- 009	Ottertail	Otter Tail River	3	970	302	60.2	1907		Typological; Significant Builder	Phelps Mill Historic District	Eligible
5718	PN-SSC-018	Pine	Over Kettle River & Street	1	123	404	402.8	1948		Exceptional Engineering		Listed
5744 (Split Rock Creek Bridge)	PP-EDN-001	Pipestone	Split Rock Creek	2	. 54	812	50.	1938		Aesthetics		Listed
4700	PL-EGC-007	Polk	Business Route/Red River	· 1	0002B	303	602.6	1929		Typological		Eligible
L7616	PL-EST-002	Polk	Judicial Ditch # 75	3	426	302	61.7	1928		Typological		Eligible

· .

BRIDGE NUMBER/ NAME	SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	ROAD NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA C	HISTORIC DISTRICT	STATUS
1816	PO-CFL-010		East Branch Chippewa River	2	21	301	29	1940			Terrace Mill HD	Listed
R0437 (Stone Arch Bridge)	PO-CFL-009	Pope	Chippewa River		21					·		Listed
3575	HE-MPC- 4711	Ramsey	Ford Parkway/Mississippi River & Mississippi Blvd	4	42	112	327.4	1927				Listed
L9218	RA-SPC- 1100	Ramsey	Pedestrian underpass at intersection of Drewry & Beaumont									Listed
9036		Ramsey	Robert/ Mississippi River, Railroad & Street	1	0952A	112	264	1926				Listed
62075		Ramsey	Montreal under Pedestrian Walkway	4	51	112	86	1927		Aesthetics		Eligible
90386		Ramsey	East 7 <sup>th</sup> Street over RCRRA	25	5	812	45.6	1884		Typological		Listed
90401		Ramsey	Water Street Over Pickerel Outlet	4	40	812	10	1894		Typological		Listed
92247	RA-SPC- 0769	Ramsey	Lexington Avenue over Abandonded Railroad in Como Park	4	159 ·	112	38.6	1903		Typological		Listed
L5852		Ramsey	Sterk Road Pedestrian/Stream	4	0	812	18	1894		Aesthetics		Eligible
L5853		Ramsey	Lexington Avenue (Como Park)	4	0	812	18	1894		Aesthetics		Listed
L8560		Ramsey-	Pedestrian-Phalen Park/Channel	4	0.	112	55	1911		Aesthetics		Eligible
L8789		Ramsey	Pedestrian-Phalen Park/South Channel	4	0	302	60	1906		Typological		Eligible
L8804		Ramsey	Edgecumbe Road Over Ravine	4	560	206	28.1	1916 <sup>.</sup>		Typological; Notable Engineer		Eligible
L8803		Ramsey	Colorado Street Arches									Listed
1238	RW-SBC-	Redwood	Cottonwood River	4	22	105	142.4	1918	State Roads	Typological	1	Eligible

.

BRIDGE		COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	ROAD	MAIN	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE	SIGNIFICANCE		STAT
NUMBER/ NAME	NUMBER				NUMBER	SPAN TYPE			CRITERIA A	CRITERIA C	DISTRICT	ļ
	004	,			- <u></u>		<u></u>	ļ				┼───
1238A	RW-SBC- 005	Redwood	Stream	4	22	109	68.6	1917	State Roads	Typological		Eligib
89850		Redwood	Minnesota River	2	17	303	170	1910		Typological; Significant Builder		Eligit
089859 (Ramsey Park Swayback)		Redwood	Redwood River	2	31	109	183	1938				Listed
5370 (Faribault Viaduct)	RC-FAC-696	Rice	Over Straight Road, Railroad,Street	1	60	212	122.3	1937		Aesthetics		Eligib
8096	RC-NFC-326	Rice	Spring Creek	1	19	112	Ż4	1947		Aesthetics		Listed
1482	RK-LVT-001	Rock	Pedestrian/Schoneman Park Ponds	12		302	28	1910				Listed
L2194	RK-MGT- 002	Rock	Stream	3	8	113	8	1928		Typological; Significant Builder		Eligib
L2210	RK-CLN-001	Rock	Ash Creek	3	20	112	22	1911		Significant Builder		Eligib
L2257	RK-LVC-032		Stream	4	75	112	17.8	1910	1	Significant Builder		Eligib
L2340	RK-BCT-005		Sping Water Creek	3	108	112	14.5	1906		Significant Builder	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Eligib
L4646	RK-BCC-003		Spring Brook	4	11	112	32.1	1911			· · · · ·	Liste
4175	SC-SPC-068	Scott	Levee Drive under Pedestrian	. 1	169	304	645	1927		Typological		Eligit
5704	SC-JRC-053	Scott	Sand Creek	4	38	306	101	1936		Aesthetics		Eligit
9030 (Blatnik Bridge)	······································	St. Louis	St. Louis River									Eligit
No MnDOT Bridge Number (Railroad	SL-DUL- 2336	St. Louis	Congdon Park Drive						Skyline Parkway			Eligil
Bridge)	SL-DUL-	St. Louis	Mission Creek	1	23	312	86	1937		Aesthetics		Liste

			•									
							-					
							:					
BRIDGE NUMBER/ NAME	SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	ROAD NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA C	HISTORIC DISTRICT	STATUS
5772	SL-DUL- 2428	St. Louis	Lester River	1	61	112	103.5	1935	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Aesthetics		Listed
6544	SL-DUL- 2417	St. Louis	Over St. Louis River – Under Railroad	27	39	318	1888.7	1917	Iron Range Mining			Eligible
7626	SL-DUL- 2418	St. Louis	DMIR over city streets								Possible historic mining district	Eligible
7627	SL-DUL- 2419	St. Louis	DMIR over city streets								Possible historic mining district	Eligible
7631	SL-DUL- 2420	St. Louis										Eligible
7632	SL-DUL- 2421	St. Louis									-	Eligible
7753	·	St. Louis							]			Eligible
89451	-	St. Louis	Skyline Parkway/Amity Creek	4	176	112	26	1912		Aesthetics		Eligible
L6007	SL-DUL- 2366	St. Louis	Skyline Parkway/Stewart Creek	4	536	812	30	1919		Aesthetics	-	Listed '
L6113	SL-DUL- 2423	St. Louis	4 <sup>th</sup> Street Over Tischer Creek	4	133	112	70.5	1925		Aesthetics		Eligible
L6116 (Aerial Lift Bridge)	SL-DUL- 2380	St. Louis	Lake Avenue over Ship Canal	4	140	318	385.9	1909				Listed
L6137	SL-DUL- 2424	St. Louis	Trackage of the DM&IR over several city streets									Eligible
L6138	SL-DUL- 2425	St. Louis	Trackage of the DM&IR over several city streets									Eligible
L8477	-	St. Louis	10 <sup>th</sup> Street/Miller Creek & Street	4	361	. 112	79.5	1927		Aesthetics		Eligible
L8501		St. Louis	Snively Blvd-Amity	4	712	112	27	1912		Aesthetics		Eligible

•

· •

	SHPO NUMBER	COUNTY	FEATURE CROSSED	OWNER	ROAD NUMBER	MAIN SPAN TYPE	LENGTH	DATE	SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA A		HISTORIC DISTRICT	STATUS
			Creek		_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ			Į	<u> </u>
.8503			Snively Blvd-Amity Creek	4	712	112	28.2	1912		Aesthetics		Eligible
.8505			Snively Blvd-Amity Creek	4	712	112	28.2	1912		Aesthetics		Eligible
_8506			Snively Blvd-Amity Creek	4	712	112	31.8	1912		Aesthetics		Eligible
L8507			Snively Blvd-Amity Creek	4	712	112	28	1912		Aesthetics		Eligible
L8515	SL-DUL- 2426		Lewis Street –Tischers Creek	4	923	112	46	1922		Aesthetics		Eligible
L8796	SL-DUL- 2427	St. Louis		-								Eligible
Great Northern Bridge	SN-SKC-001	Stearns	Main Street & 8 <sup>th</sup> Street		· ·		4	1924			Sauk Center Main Street	Listed
L5573		Steele "	Straight River	3.	95	303	121	1894			<u> </u>	Listed
3858		Swift	Pomme de Terre River	3	25	303	102	1923		Typological		Eligible
L7069	TO-TUR-003	Todd	Turtle Creek	3	357	312	20.5	1935		Aesthetics		Eligible
L7075	TO-HAR-009	1	Turtle Creek	3	411	312	55.1	1940		Aesthetics		Eligible
R0412 (Walnut Street Bridge)	WB-MZC- 029	Wabasha	North Branch Zumbro River			-		1904				Listed
3219 (Zumbro Parkway Bridge)	WB-HPK- 003	Wabasha	Stream	2	68	312	24	1937				Listed
5827	WB-ZFC-011	Wabasha	Stream	1	60	312	22.8	1938		Aesthetics		Listed
L7120		Wadena										Eligible
L4100		Waseca										Eligible
4654	WA-SWC- 322	Washingto	Over St. Croix River & City Street*	1	36	318	143.6	1930	-			Listed

•

							.•				
BRIDGE NUMBER/ NAME	SHPO NUMBER			OWNER	NUMBER	TYPE	LENGTH	DATE		HISTORIC DISTRICT	STATI
5600	WA-PKC- 001	Washingto n	Mississippi River	26	38	318	1661.4	1895	Typological		Eligible
6527	WW-MDT- 003		Pedestrian Walkway/Watonwan River	2	116	303	94.5	1908	Typological; Significant Builder	τ	Eligibl
No MnDOT Bridge Number (Recreational dam/foot bridge)	WN-ELT- 022	Winona								Whiewater State Park	Listed
No MnDOT Bridge Number (Footbridge)	WM-ELT- 034	Winona		- -	· .					Whitewater State Park	Listed
5900	WN-WAC- 1141	Winona	Over Mississippi River, railroad & street	1	43	403	2288.5	1941	Typological; Engineering		Elígibi
L1393	WN-WAR- 015	Winona	Under DM&E Railroad	27	120	306	110	1882	Typological		Eligib
L1394		Winona	Under DM&E Railroad	27	120	812	80	1882	Typological		Eligib
L1409	WN-HLS- 003	Winona	Stream	2	62	812	36.8	1898	Typological	•	Listed
L7897	YM-NMA- 006	Yellow Medicine	Branch Spring Creek	3	27	113	11.3	1925	Aesthetics		Eligib
L7898	YM-NMA- 007	Yellow Medicine	Branch Spring Creek	3	27	113	11.3	1925	Aesthetics		Eligib
L7969	YM-MNF- 012	Yellow Medicine	Yellow Medicine River	3	115	302	172.8	1909	Typological; Significant Builder	3r	Eligit